

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,277	10/14/2003	Scott C. Moose	85435THC	7227	
75	90 08/18/2005	EXAMINER			
Thomas H. Cl	ose	BAREFORD, KATHERINE A			
Patent Legal Sta	aff		·	<u> </u>	
Eastman Kodak	Company	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
343 State Street		1762			
Rochester, NY 14650-2201			DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. Application No. Applicant s) MOSE, SCOTT C.		W						
Examiner Examiner Examiner Art Unit 1762 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Baserions of time may be available under the previous of 2 CPR 1.13(b). In one event, however, may a reply be limited free - If the period for reply is appointed above, the maximum statutary period will apply and will expire 8X (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply is appointed above, the maximum statutary period will apply and will expire 8X (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. - If the period for reply is appointed above, the maximum statutary period will apply and will expire 8X (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. - If the period for reply is appointed above, the maximum statutary period will apply and will expire 8X (0) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication, even if timely field, may reduce any statute and the period period period and the period period and the period period period and the period period period period and the period perio		- T	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Rainerine A Bareford 1762	Office Astion Commons		10/686,277	MOOSE, SCOTT	C.			
Period for Repty A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estensions of time may be available under the spoxious of 37 CR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Estensions of time may be available under the spoxious of 37 CR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Estensions of time may be available under the spoxious of 37 CR 1.13(e). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Estensions of empty is specified shows in last sharing (30 days, a vill be considered limity. If the period for reply specified shows in last sharing (30 days, a vill be considered limity. If the period for reply specified shows in last sharing (30 days, a vill be considered limity. If the period for reply specified shows in last sharing replication period will explicate the section of the period shows an explication of the specified period of the communication. If the period for reply specified shows in last sharing replication in the specified period of the specified period period of the specified period of the specified period perio	Office Action Summary	· E	xaminer	Art Unit				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE ③ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. **It has greated reply specified above it less than thirty (objects) of the communication. **It has greated reply specified above it less than the production is less than thirty (objects) of the production of the pr								
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Estancians of time may be available under the provision of 30°CR 1.13(a)b, In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (8) MOINTIS from the mailing date of this communication of 30°CR 1.13(a)b, In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SX (8) MOINTIS from the mailing date of this communication. It is not to see that the street of the communication of the street of		ication appea	rs on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence ad	idress			
This action is FINAL. 2b \(\times\) This action is non-final. 3 \(\times\) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \(Ex\) parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum size - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a nunication. 0) days, a reply will atutory period will a will, by statute, ca	hin the statutory minimum of thirty (30 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS use the application to become ABAND	be timely filed) days will be considered time from the mailing date of this condition (Source) ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
2a This action is FINAL. 2b This action is non-final. 3 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheel(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some* c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 3 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6 Other:	Status							
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Claim(s) are subject to the structure. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a accepted or b objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(e) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Paftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6 Other:	2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 3)☐ Since this application is in condition	2b)⊠ This action for allowance	tion is non-final. e except for formal matters.	•	e merits is			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)	Disposition of Claims							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Cher:	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restrict Claim(s) are subject Claim(s)	re withdrawn ed. ction and/or e celed. e Examiner. a) □ accep	lection requirement. ted or b)□ objected to by t					
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Beferences Cited (PTO-892) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawing(s) i	s objected to. See 37 C				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other: S. Patent and Trademark Office	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:	 a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 							
S. Patent and Trademark Office	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or		Paper No(s)/M 5) Notice of Inforr	ail Date	O-152)			
	S. Patent and Trademark Office	Office Actio	, —	Part of Paper No./Mail [Date 20050815			

W

DETAILED ACTION

The RCE submission of July 25, 2005 has been received and entered.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on June 27. 2005 has been entered.

The after final amendment of June 27, 2005 has been entered per the request of the RCE submission of July 25, 2005.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: (1) in claim 1, section b) "a organic" should be "an organic" for proper grammar. (2) in claim 1, section c) "organic-solvent based liquid" should be "organic solvent-based liquid" for consistency with the usage of section b) in claim 1. (3) in claim 6, line 4, "a organic" should be "an organic" for proper grammar.

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 1762

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 1, section b) applicant has amended the claim to require a source of "a organic solvent-based liquid coating". Similarly, in independent claim 6, at line 4, applicant has amended the claim to require the hopper to be "dimensioned for delivering a organic solvent-based liquid bead coating composition". However, these amendments are new matter. In the specification and claims as originally filed, there is no teaching that a general "organic solvent-based liquid coating" is applied. There is simply one example where a specific organic solvent is used (methyl ethyl ketone) (see page 4, lines 14-15). There is no indication that because this single solvent is used that the use of all possible organic solvents is disclosed or suggested. As a result, the use of a general "organic solvent-based liquid coating" is new matter.

Application/Control Number: 10/686,277 Page 4

Art Unit: 1762

5. The rejection of claims 1 and 3-5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for bead coating, does not reasonably provide enablement for other forms of coating is withdrawn due to the amendment of June 27, 2005 to provide that the coating method of claim 1 is a bead coating method.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The rejection of claims 1, 3, 5-6, 8 and 10 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) or (e) as being anticipated by Quiel et al (US 2002/0164431) is withdrawn due to the amendments of June 27, 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Suzuki et al (US 5552188) in view of Levy (US 4428724) and Quiel et al (US 2002/0164431).

Claims 1 and 6: Suzuki teaches a method and apparatus for coating a liquid composition from an applicator to a surface of a moving web. Figure 1 and column 1,

Art Unit: 1762

W

lines 5-10 and column 4, lines 55-65. The web is conveyed along a path through a coating apparatus. Figure 1 and column 4, lines 55-65. The coating apparatus includes a coating station for applying a coating to the surface of the web. Figure 1 and column 4, lines 55-65. The coating station includes a backing roller for supporting the web and a bead coating hopper for depositing a liquid coating on the web. Figure 1 and column 4, lines 55-65. The web is partially wrapped around the backing roller. Figure 1. A source of an organic solvent-based liquid coating composition for bead coating the web can be provided. Figure 1 and column 4, lines 5-10 and 55-65. The web is transported past the coating station, where the organic solvent based liquid composition is applied in a bead coating to the surface of the web from the coating hopper. Figure 1 and column 4, lines 55-65. No electric field is imposed between the coating hopper and the web. Figure 1 and column 4, lines 55-65 (note that no electric field is indicated as being present).

Suzuki teaches all the features of these claims except the use of the grooved backing roller and its results.

Levy teaches that may manufacturing operations include processing rolls used to transfer heat between those rolls and the film. Column 1, lines 64-66. During high speed film processing, an air layer is trapped between the film and the roll resulting in a reduction of heat transfer therebetween. Column 1, line 64 through column 2, line 5. In order to minimize the air layer trapped between the film and the roll, a well defined microgrooved escape path for the air on the surface of the roll has been provided.

Column 2, lines 5-15. 70 to 150 circumferential grooves per centimeter (7 to 15 per mm)

Art Unit: 1762

are provided on the surface of the roll. Column 2, lines 15-25. The grooves have a depth greater than 0.02 mm (20 microns). Column 2, lines 25-40. Preferred grooves have a depth of about 0.08 mm (80 microns) for example. Column 2, lines 35-40.

Quiel teaches, in the background, that it is known when coating from a coating die or hopper onto a moving web substrate to precisely position and support the substrate by guiding the substrate around a rotating backing roller spaced apart from the coating device. Paragraph [0002]. Quiel further teaches that the web surfaces carry boundary layers of air, with the boundary layer on the back surface (on the side facing the backing roller) causing a problem as the web is drawn into the entrance nip formed between the web and backing roller. Paragraphs [0002] – [0003]. Quiel teaches that it is well known in the prior art to relieve the back side boundary air layer by providing any of various incuse patterns on the surface of the backing roller, including providing circumferential shallow grooves (microgrooves), citing US 4,428,724, to Levy. Paragraph [0005]. The width of the relived surface on the backing roller is equal to or greater than the width of the liquid coating to be applied to the web. Paragraph [0040].

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Suzuki to provide shallow grooves on the backing roller of the dimensions as suggested by Quiel and Levy in order to provide a desirable relieving of back side boundary air, because Suzuki teaches a bead coating process where the web passes around a rotating backing roller spaced from the coating hopper during the coating process, and Quiel teaches that when performing a bead coating

Art Unit: 1762

WO

process where the web passes around a rotating backing roller spaced from the coating hopper during the coating process it is well known to be desirable to form microgrooves on the backing roller to remove undesirable back side boundary air and cites Levy as providing such grooves, and Levy teaches desirable groove shapes for reducing back side boundary air. Furthermore, this combination would inherently provide a geometry and depth such that any temperature gradient in the web caused by the grooves in the backing roller does not disturb the coating applied by the coating apparatus. (This is shown because Levy provides the greater than 7-15 grooves per mm (i.e. the greater than 1.6 grooves per mm required by claim 3 of this case) and a range that includes 63 microns (0.0025 in) in depth (page 4 of specification of this case), which is the pattern taught by applicant to prevent disturbance). It would further have been obvious to modify the references to provide that the width of the relived surface on the backing roller is equal to or greater than the width of the liquid coating to be applied to the web as provided by Quiel, because to provide the relieving over the entire dimensions of the web would provide for removal of the back side air boundary layer at all positions across the web. It would further have been obvious to modify the references to perform routine experimentation to optimize the depth and amount of the grooves for the specific coating desired, because Levy indicates that the groove depth is 20 microns or greater, and one of ordinary skill in the art would desire to provide the optimum roller for the amount of uniformity needed, thus providing a depth of 90 microns as in claims 4 and 9.

Art Unit: 1762

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-6 and 8-10 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Suzuki and Levy, as discussed in the rejection above, have been provided as to providing the organic solvent and microgrooved roller when bead coating without the use an electric field.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Katherine A. Bareford whose telephone number is (571) 272-1413. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F(6:00-3:30) with the First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on (571) 272-1423. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Other inquiries can be directed to the Tech Center 1700 telephone number at (571) 272-1700.

Furthermore, information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ÁTHERIME BÁRÉPORID PRIMARY EXAMINER